

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Foreword

Part One

Deconstructing Holocaust Mythology

- | | |
|---|----|
| 1) Introduction: A Suspension of Disbelief | 1 |
| 2) Labor Camps, Not Death Factories | 4 |
| 3) Fuel Shortages: One of the Primary Reasons why Germany Lost the War | 9 |
| 4) Logistics: Not Enough Time or Manpower to Implement Such a Massive Operation | 11 |
| 5) Safety & Comfort: Concentration Camps Were the Safest Place for Jews in Europe During World War II | 13 |
| 6) Number of Deceased in Work Camps Keeps Being Lowered | 17 |
| 7) The Tortured "Confessions" of Rudolf Hoess | 23 |
| 8) Re-examining the Anne Frank Fable | 26 |
| 9) Fred Leuchter: Science Debunks Fantasy at Auschwitz | 30 |
| 10) Zyklon B Used to Save Jewish Lives, Not Eradicate It | 34 |

11) Allied Bombings lead to the Death of Jews (and Other Workers), Not a Systematic Execution Campaign by Nazis	46
12) Auschwitz (part one)	51
13) Auschwitz (part two)	65
14) Dachau & Belsen	78
15) Treblinka	81
16) The Truth About Cremation	85
17) Concentration Camp Mythology	89
18) Death Mode Fantasies	98
19) Lies & Truth-tellers	103
20) Exposed: Fake Memoirs	110
21) Human Soap, Lampshades, Shrunken Heads & Tattoos	117

Part Two
How Elite Zionists Engineered
the Holocaust:
An Analysis of Their Means,
Motives and Agenda

22) Nazis and Zionist Collaboration	129
23) Holocaust Agenda	135
24) The Master Plan	150
25) Jews Had Jews Killed	164

Foreword

In Aug. 2000 I was informed that the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) was after me. The NYSE lawyers in this matter were Baker Botts L.L.P., the second oldest law firm west of the Mississippi and the namesake of the politically-connected Baker family, i.e., former U.S. Secretary of State James A. Baker III. In fact, Baker Botts is considered a proving ground of sorts for some of the country's most prominent politicians. Verbally challenged former U.S. president George W. Bush worked in the mailroom of this firm.

The NYSE was incensed that I had been critical of them through an online newspaper I founded, *WallStreetJovial.com*, a play on their venerable *The Wall Street Journal*. My obvious intent was that people on Wall Street are jovial because they're robbing everyone blind, legally. Of course, they couldn't effectively sue me because all of what I had written was true. So, they attacked from a different angle.

As part of an online chat room, I had selected as my screen name the NYSE's poster child of greed, Richard A. Grasso. At the time of these posts, "Dick" Grasso was not yet a household name. His phony bravado after the 9-11 false flag attacks in getting the exchange up and running—in addition to revelations of his outsized pay package—assured him a place in U.S. history.

One of the NYSE's demands was that I never again type the words "Richard A. Grasso"—or any variation thereof—on my keyboard that would be destined in any way, shape or form for the Internet. I was shocked, and therefore refused. Ultimately, federal judge Robert

L. Carter proclaimed in his "Opinion" that the First Amendment protected my posts. So, Baker Botts backed off.

The shock that I felt at being asked to limit what I wrote in a public forum was similar to the shock I still feel today when confronted with so-called Holocaust Denial Laws that prevent individuals in certain countries from coming to their own conclusions on the Jewish "Holocaust" of WWII. Individuals are allowed to think that the "Holocaust" unfolded in a way different than the official narrative, they just can't speak it.

There are various reasons why certain countries have enacted these laws, but the natural question arises: Why is this information so sacred and taboo that it can't be challenged? Why can every other topic in the western world be questioned except the "Holocaust"? What are "they" afraid will be uncovered?

"They" have been at the vanguard promulgating these laws in the following countries: Austria, Belgium, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Czech Republic, France, Germany, Hungary, Israel, Liechtenstein, Luxembourg, The Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Spain, Switzerland, and the European Union. That's right, if your research brings you to a different conclusion than what "they" have offered, you can actually be fined and/or imprisoned. Just ask WWII historian and author of 30 books David Irving, or German nationalist and publisher Ernst Zündel. Both of these educated scholars are interested in only one thing: the truth. Irving was imprisoned for 13 months in solitary confinement, and Zündel—kidnapped from his home in the U.S.—was locked up for over five years and endured inhumane conditions that were more fitting for

mass murderer, not a revisionist historian. All for reading, thinking, writing and speaking about a subject that was considered "taboo."

Dave Gahary

January 10, 2012